

Absolute Truth & Prophecy

Robert Palaszewski

NEWSLETTER

www.ATPMinistry.com

ZEW'S NEWS REVIEW

January 8, 2012

Issue #378

DISCERNING THE TIME: 2012 – PART II

In PART I we outlined some parts of the revealed Illuminati / Masonic plan to bring the Antichrist to power. Then we looked at just one part of that plan that was enunciated in 1980. The intention is to engineer an Arab / Israeli war to get the process rolling. And we looked at just how close we are to hostilities in the Middle East – and how the next round of that conflict could precisely fulfill Biblical Prophecy. This week we continue breaking down Masonic Plan.

THE PLANNED WORLD WAR III

As we showed last time, since at least 1870, there has been a plan in place to create three world wars as part of the process that is to transform the global population into a malleable mass willing to trade personal freedom for survival, security and peace. According to author Peter Lemesurier, the first step in that process was to be an Arab Israeli War. The next step is to be a Nuclear Crisis in Korea followed by a Chinese Invasion of Taiwan. Those are the three planned theaters of the next global conflict. These are to be accompanied by a Campaign of Terror against the America and Europe. So let's begin by looking at step 2 in the orchestrated Masonic scheme.

Nuclear Crisis In Korea

When the Illuminati revealed this part of the plan it was 1980. North Korea was a militarized state at the time – but it was generally perceived as being woefully technologically behind the West. While North Korea may not have some of the sophisticated weaponry and know-how enjoyed by the United States, it is a very credible threat to the region and beyond. It has a tested nuclear arsenal and the ability to deliver warheads in an every increasing arc range. Now the most 'official' estimates of The North's atomic arsenal put the number of weapons – in 2006 – at eight weapons top. Quite the paltry arsenal when compared with the United States.¹ But all are not agreed on this low number. For instance, Dr Kim Myong Chul, an expert on the North Korean Military for the Association For Asian Research, was convinced that as far back as 2003, that Pyongyang controlled as many as 100 atomic and hydrogen warheads. An article in the Korean Web Weekly explained at that time: "Dr. Kim states that the nukes were built in the 1980's before North Korea signed the Agreed Framework in 1994. It is likely that the US CIA knew about North Korea's nuclear capability but decided to cover it up with its persistent 'North Korea may have 1-2 crude devices.' It should be recalled that the US CIA failed to predict the Korean War of 1950 and also the intervention of Chinese forces. The failure was due to not any lack of intelligence but incorrect analysis of the mountain of intelligence."²

Part of the problem with North Korea is that is a very repressive, insular regime that has engaged in military adventures against South Korea, an American ally that the US is sworn to protect. The US has about 28,500 troops in place. So any war against the South would necessarily involve American forces right from the start.³ And it is the proven aggressive nature of the North Korean regime that has raised tensions to the edge of war on many occasions. In March of 2010, a North Korean submarine launched a torpedo attack against a South Korean patrol boat with a crew of over 100.⁴ Over 40 sailors were believed lost in the incident. Then in November of the same year the North shelled an island occupied by the South, again resulting in fatal casualties.⁵ Pyongyang has never been shy about either acting or threatening action. Back in 2003, amidst the ongoing US attempts to rein in North Korea's nuclear weapons program, Australia's Sydney Morning Herald carried a nuclear threat by a North Korean spokesman against the United States. "North Korea would launch a ballistic missile attack on the United States if Washington made a pre-emptive strike against the communist state's nuclear facility....'If American forces carry out a preemptive strike on the Yongbyon facility, North Korea will immediately target, carry the war to the US mainland,' he said, adding that New York, Washington and Chicago would be aflame!"⁶ The real question is: Could they pull it off? Could North

Korean missiles hit the United States? By January of 2011, the Pentagon was stating publicly that North Korean missiles would be capable of reaching the Lower 48 within 5 years.⁷ Back in 2009 they had already tested missiles capable of hitting targets in Alaska and Hawaii.⁸ But Dr Chul of the AFAR believes that capability already exists.

But whether they are ‘there’ yet – or soon will be – the fact remains that current North Korean missile capabilities pose a threat to American allies in the region, including South Korea and Japan. And the situation is exacerbated by the recent passing of Fearless Leader, Kim Jung Il. Recall the prophecy / wishes of the Illuminati to create a nuclear crisis that would threaten mankind’s survival through nuclear war. Real or imagined, the drama of death and succession is at the very least creating a fear of instability. The BBC ran a story covering how the world press covered the story. Typical was this warning from South Korea’s *The Korea Times*. “*North Korea without Kim Jong-il might be more unstable, dangerous and provocative than the country under his control.*”⁹ That said of a nation that already seemed eternally poised to do the stupid and dangerous thing.

A Debkafile analysis posits that the North Korea’s new leader, Kim Jong-un will be challenged by elements in the military, including those who might have control of the nation’s nuclear arsenal. The instability is aggravated by that very fact – Pyongyang is now a nuclear power. The instability inherent in a power struggle – especially one that descended into a full fledged civil war – could entice someone to play the atomic card – bringing of course disastrous results. According to DEBKA, a Pentagon study “*estimates that if the Korean Peninsula descended into domestic anarchy and civil strife, the United States would be called on to raise an army of intervention numbering 400,000 soldiers.*”¹⁰ Of course that kind of commitment seems patently absurd in the current climate of budget constraints and military downsizing. The United States though has itself considered the use of tactical nuclear weapons against a massive North Korean attack against the smaller armies of South Korea and the US.¹¹ So either way – the introduction of nuclear weapons may be in the cards there.

Chinese Invasion Of Taiwan

The revealed Illuminati plan calls for China to wait until the United States is engaged and overextended with other crisis and then use that opportunity to invade and reclaim the island nation of Taiwan. Is this realistic? Well, it seems it is becoming more-so – almost by the month.

On August 28th of 2011, the Pentagon issued a new report regarding China’s military. Here’s the summary provided by China analyst Pat Dollard. “*The Pentagon has issued its annual report on China's military, and it shows that China is rapidly preparing for all-out war with America over Taiwan.*” Dollard goes on. “*One of the saddest things that I've had to report on my web site is China's rush towards total war with the United States. I've been describing this for years, but it was always in the distance. A new report by the Pentagon makes it clear that this war is no longer distant, and an attack within the next 12-18 months is a reasonable expectation.*”¹²

China is all about changing the balance of power in the region. They are in the process of - or have already – deployed thousands of missiles directed specifically at US naval assets in the region. That includes the likelihood that they are capable of disabling American carriers that serve as Taiwan’s first line of defense. Dollard details some of the progress the Chinese military is making. “*China has deployed dozens of surface and submarine naval attack vessels, supported early-warning aircraft, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), and other surveillance and reconnaissance equipment, capable of launching nuclear missiles from the sea. China has also deployed space and cyber warfare capabilities. China has developed the capability to attack and kill America's communication satellites. Each week there are news stories about Chinese "hackers" stealing enormous amounts of military technology and defense-related secret information.*” In addition, the Chinese are continuing to modernize and update their ICBM nuclear missile arsenal and are now capable of targeting just about the entire US mainland. The Pentagon’s report summarizes nicely the effect of China’s military progress. It tells us that China’s People’s Liberation Army “*is contending with a growing array of missions, Taiwan remains its main strategic direction. China continued modernizing its military in 2010, with a focus on Taiwan contingencies, even as cross-Strait relations improved. The PLA seeks the capability to deter Taiwan independence and influence Taiwan to settle the dispute on Beijing’s terms. In pursuit of this objective, Beijing is developing capabilities intended to deter, delay, or deny possible U.S. support for the island in the event of conflict. The balance of cross-Strait military forces and capabilities continues to shift in the mainland’s favor.*”¹³

The problem is this. America is the sworn ally of Taiwan and its support of its ally will be automatic should China seek to take the island. On the other hand, Communist China is just as certain that Taiwan, - once known as Nationalist China – rightfully belongs to the government in Beijing. They will brook no interference when it comes to Taiwan – even from the world’s most powerful nation. In 2005 General Zhu Chenghu made this perfectly clear when he threatened the United States with nuclear war while acknowledging the price that China itself would pay in such a war. *“If the Americans are determined to interfere [then] we will be determined to respond. We ... will prepare ourselves for the destruction of all of the cities east of Xian [a city in central China]. Of course the Americans will have to be prepared that hundreds ... of cities will be destroyed by the Chinese.”*¹⁴ As Pat Dollard analyzes it: *“There's nothing rational about this, but it's the way the world is going.”*¹⁵ A conflict will be the almost inevitable result of the decades long Chinese drive to modernize and expand their military muscle. Curious in all of this is the fact that the great expansion of Chinese technological know how was greatly aided and abetted by the transfer of techno-secrets under the Clinton administration. We have created our own future enemy.

Terror Against The West

Terror against the West is a tactic that is clearly enumerated in the plan to create the New Age Christ. The Illuminati have made no bones about it. And therein lies the rub. The fact that New World Order types have their hands on the controls of key governmental positions all around the globe can be little debated. The international bankers, as well as key government, religious and business leaders are part of the network that is working, at least somewhat openly for a New World Order. It is not even necessary that the PTB create the terror – (though in some cases perhaps they do) – it is only necessary that they step back from preventing it. Thus we see the Muslim terror group Boko Haram killing nearly 500 Nigerians in 2011 – including a Christmas day slaughter of Christians on the steps of a church – and there is only a tepid reaction from the US State Department. The same can be said of American reaction to the persecution of Coptic Christians in Egypt. *No, let's not rock that boat. After all we want the radical Islamists to like us, don't we?* Constant terror attacks in the Middle East are driving out the Christian populations of Iraq, Syria, Pakistan and Lebanon.¹⁶

That blindness extends to the America. World Net Daily recently ran an article detailing the existence of 35 terrorist training camps scattered across the US, run by a group called Muslims of America, and its leader Jamaat ul-Fuqra. According to its own training video, its purpose is to destroy *“evil at its roots and its roots are America. The recruitment video shows American converts to Islam being instructed in the operation of AK-47 rifles, rocket launchers and machine guns and C4 explosives. It provides instruction in how to kidnap Americans, kill them and how to conduct sabotage and subversive operations. Jamaat ul-Fuqra's attacks on American soil range from bombings to murder to plots to blow up U.S. landmarks. A 2006 Department of Justice report states Jamaat ul-Fuqra 'has more than 35 suspected communes and more than 3,000 members spread across the United States, all in support of one goal: the purification of Islam through violence.' In 2005, the Department of Homeland Security predicted the group would continue to carry out attacks in the U.S. 'Act like you are his friend. Then kill him,' says Gilani in the recruitment video.”*¹⁷

Why are such camps allowed to exist? The answer is quite disturbing. Whether directly or indirectly the existence of the terror phenomenon is a direct result of the actions of the Global Elite. Terror directly benefits the PTB by advancing the causes and goals which they champion. We must recall that the Illuminati plainly told us back in 1981 that international terror against Europe and America would be a key element in creating the conditions under which the Antichrist would rise.¹⁸ What it does is drive populations to desperately demand safety from a threat over which they have no control. Over time, people are willing to sacrifice more and more of their freedoms for this elusive ‘safety’. The Apostle Paul warns of such a time that will come just before the advent of the ‘Day of the Lord’, that time of judgment we know as the Great Tribulation; the period just preceding Christ’s Return. **“For when they say, ‘Peace and safety!’ then sudden destruction comes upon them, as labor pains upon a pregnant woman. And they shall not escape.”** (1Thess 5:3) General Leonid Ivashov, who was Chief of Staff of the Russian military in September of 2011 analyzes it thus. He gives an extremely prescient take on the nature of world terrorism, writing that *“the military and political doctrines of the United States and other countries, shows that terrorism contributes to a world dominance and the submissiveness of states to a global oligarchy. This means that terrorism is not something independent of world politics but simply an instrument, a means to install a unipolar world with a sole world headquarters, a pretext to erase national borders and to establish the rule of a new world elite. It is precisely this elite that constitutes the key element of world terrorism, its ideologist and its "godfather". The main target of the world elite is the historical, cultural, traditional and natural reality; the existing system of relations among states; the world national and state*

order of human civilization and national identity.”¹⁹ That is the admitted goal of the Global Elite: The establishment of a New World Order under a One World Government.

What terrorism does is allow governments to consolidate power and increase the depth of control of their citizenry. When one considers that the behind the scenes Forces that pull the strings of national governments are all seeking that very same end, the enabling of terrorists makes perfect sense from their point of view. Whether considering the Reichstag fire that Hitler used, or the events of 911 that brought us an increasingly invasive role for Homeland Security, one is led to the conclusion that Big Terror serves Big Government in that it allows said government to increase its control with the full acquiescence of the people. Thus we see the moves to prepare to staff the FEMA Camps and the signing into law of acts that allow the indefinite detention of American citizens. And all of this is being accomplished without a successful major terror attack in the United States in over ten years.

IRAN HEATING UP!

The drift toward an Iranian conflict continued unabated, with the Iranians posturing threateningly and the US responding firmly. As Iranian naval forces conducted major drills in the area, their Vice President announced to the world that “*If sanctions are adopted against Iranian oil, not a drop of oil will pass through the Strait of Hormuz.*” More than one-third of the oil tanker traffic of the globe passes through that body of water. Prior to the Iranian maneuvers the American aircraft carrier the USS John C Stennis had left the area to avoid an incident. Immediately the head of the Iranian Army warned: “*...the enemy's carrier has been moved to the Sea of Oman because of our drill. I recommend and emphasize to the American carrier not to return to the Persian Gulf... we are not in the habit of warning more than once.*”²⁰ The US was quick to respond. “*Anyone who threatens to disrupt freedom of navigation in an international strait is clearly outside the community of nations; any disruption will not be tolerated. She said the US Navy is “always ready to counter malevolent actions to ensure freedom of navigation.*”²¹ Tehran immediately shot back saying, “*The Americans are not qualified to give us permission for carrying out our military strategy.*” Another American carrier, the USS Carl Vinson, is standing by to sail to the waters off Iran if needed.²² Through all of this, the Israelis are moving forward as if a major campaign against Iran is inevitable; going forward with defensive missile drills and plans are going forward for the stationing of American troops in Israel and an Israeli command post at EUCOM in Germany.²³

But just what could spark a conflict? On the one hand, Tehran could react to what it would see as an unacceptable provocation by the West, such as the implementation of crippling sanctions against Iran’s oil industry, deemed necessary for operation of an already fragile economy. Should Iran mine the Strait at that time, it could serve as a trigger to a wider and more violent conflict. Even a short interruption could drive up oil prices severely, dealing a crippling blow to the world economy. DEBKA explains. “*US military sources told debkafile Wednesday, Dec. 28, that United States has the countermeasures for sweeping the waterway of mines and making it safe for marine passage after no more than a 24-48 hour interruption. It doesn't have to be sealed hermetically to endanger international shipping; just a few mines here and there and an explosion would be enough to deter shippers and crews from risking their vessels.*” Those sanctions could be forthcoming as early as later this month, with the EU looking at targeting Iranian oil exports. Right now, Iran does about one-fourth of its oil business with Europe. When that happens “*Tehran is expected to strike back hard by sowing mines in Hormuz and in the waters opposite the oil fields and terminals of fellow Persian Gulf oil producers, including Saudi Arabia.*”²⁴ Game on!

From the American point of view, the mining of the straits and supporting actions could serve as a *casus belli*. The United States would deem the free flow of oil as essential the continued operation of the world’s economy. The danger lies in the fact that any conflict could easily spread as Iran’s allies; Hezbollah, Hamas, Syria, etc went into action against Israel. Even an Egypt recently taken by the Muslim Brotherhood could join the fray – making possible the fulfillment of the prophecy found in Psalm 83. And if that’s not the road taken, then Israel at some point – will reach the point – when they can no longer wink at developments in the Iranian nuclear weapons program. Oh yeah, things are heating up!

-
- ¹ North Korea and weapons of mass destruction, Wikipedia, December 24, 2011 – quoting the August 2006 issue of National Geographic
 - ² Opinion: North Korea will nuke American cities if provoked, Korea WebWeekly, Association For Asian Research, May 5, 2003
 - ³ Briefing by Defense Secretary Gates and ROK Minister Lee 17 October 2008". America.gov. 17 October 2008. Retrieved 19 May 2011 – As referenced in Wikipedia – viewed December 27, 2011
 - ⁴ North Korean torpedo DID sink South's navy ship, Daily Mail (UK), May 21, 2010
 - ⁵ 'Crisis Status' in South Korea After North Shells Island, New York Times, November, 24, 2010
 - ⁶ Pyongyang: We'll put a torch to New York, Sydney Morning Herald, March 8, 2003
 - ⁷ Gates: North Korean Missiles Could Be Able to Hit U.S. Mainland Within Five Years, National Journal, January 11, 2011
 - ⁸ North Korea feared to be testing missile which could hit Alaska, Telegraph (UK), February 24, 2009
 - ⁹ The Korea Times - as quoted in: World media concern over North Korean succession, BBC, December 20, 2011
 - ¹⁰ North Korea's coming power struggle..., DEBKAFfile, December 19, 2011
 - ¹¹ US often weighed N Korea 'nuke option', The Guardian (UK), October 10, 2010
 - ¹² Pat Dollard, Pentagon Report: China Military Build-up in Preparation for War Over Taiwan, December 14, 2011, <http://patdollard.com/2011/12/pentagon-report-china-military-build-up-in-preparation-for-war-over-taiwan/>
 - ¹³ Annual Report To Congress, Military and Security Developments Involving the People's Republic of China 2011, The Office of the Secretary of Defense, August 28, 2011
 - ¹⁴ China and Japan head for military confrontation over disputed islands, Generational Dynamics, July 16, 2005
 - ¹⁵ Pat Dollard, Pentagon Report: China Military Build-up in Preparation for War Over Taiwan, December 14, 2011, <http://patdollard.com/2011/12/pentagon-report-china-military-build-up-in-preparation-for-war-over-taiwan/>
 - ¹⁶ Bruce Thornton, Diplomatic Supping With Jihadist Devils, Front Page Magazine, December 27, 2011
 - ¹⁷ 35 TERROR TRAINING CAMPS NOW OPERATING INSIDE US, World Net Daily, January 3, 2011
 - ¹⁸ Peter Lemesurier, The Armageddon Script, 1981, pg 222
 - ¹⁹ General Leonid Ivashov, International Terrorism Does Not Exist, 911 Review, monitored January 4, 2012
 - ²⁰ Iran warns US carrier to stay out of Persian Gulf, MSNBC, January 3, 2012
 - ²¹ Iran To 'Block' Gulf Oil If Sanctions Proceed, <http://www.rap-con.com>, December 27, 2011
 - ²² Iran provokes showdown, DEBKAFfile, January 3, 2012
 - ²³ Why an Attack on Iran and Another War in Middle East are More Likely Than Not, International Business Times, December 30, 2011
 - ²⁴ New Iranian Sanctions Next Month Could Be Catalyst For Coming Conflict, DEBKAFfile, December 29, 2011